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## EXPRESSION OF RESULTS IN QUANTUM CHEMISTRY

The end product of a theoretical computation is likely to be a number or a set of numbers that express the value of some computed energy, distance or other quantity. Conversion to the value expressed in SI requires each number to be multiplied by a simple mixture of physical constants, themselves expressed in SI. Since the accepted values of these constants in SI are liable to minor change as new measurements concerning $e, \neq m$, etc. are available, the conversion to SI is appropriately made by the reader rather than by the author of a publication. Since many readers may not be familiar with the required mixture of constants it is RECOMNENDED that wherever applicable these physical constants be inserted appropriately in the output information of a theoretical paper. Thus, in recording a calculated distance, $r$, the form might be $=5.1 a_{0}$ or $r / a_{0}=5.1$ with the second arrangement being especially suited to tabular matter. Likewise, a magnetic moment would appear as $m=5.2$ e $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}$ or $m /\left(e \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{z}} / \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)=5.2$. (See also the appendix). Of course the values expressed in SI units may also ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ be given where required for discussion; if precise values of the physical constants are required for the conversion to SI the appropriate reference for the values used must be given.

This leaves the question of which physical constants are to be recognized by the reader. The four SI dimensions of length, mass, time and current require four physical constants to be permitted and a sensible minimum choice might be $m_{e}, e, \not \subset$ and $4 \pi \varepsilon_{\rho}$. Extension beyond these four is not essential, but it is useful to have a separate symbol and name for two, widely used mixtures of these four, namely:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} \hbar^{2} / m_{e} e^{2}\right)=a_{0} \text { the Bohr radius } \\
& \left(m_{e} e^{4} / 16 \pi^{2} \varepsilon_{0}^{2} h_{2}^{2}\right)=e^{2} / 4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} a_{0}=\hbar^{2} / a_{0}^{2} m_{e}=E_{h} \begin{array}{c}
\text { the } \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Hartree } \\
\text { energy }
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

While other constants could be introduced, any quantity not involving nuclear mass, temperature, the velocity of light or the theory of relativity requires no more than four of the five quantities, $m, e, \notin, E_{\text {a }}$ and $\alpha$. Others would be rarely used and unfamiliar and so defeat the object of the recommendation, whose purpose is to make the numerical end results of theoretical work easily transformed to SI by any reader.

The acceptance of this recommendation would circumvent the need for a set of atomic units each with its own symbol and name. It is the traditional use of the phrase "atomic units" in this area which has obscured the real problem.

[^0]
## Appendix

A table follows with the physical constants or mixtures of physical constants, to be normally used as a factor, to convert into SI the results of theoretical computations of a selected list of physical quantities.

In the first column these physical quantities are listed. The second column shows their usual symbols. The mixture of physical constants $X$ corresponding to each physical quantity $\mathrm{Z}^{\text {is }}$ given in the third column; the descriptions of $X$ are not unique (e.g. $E_{\mathrm{h}}=\hbar^{2} a^{-2} m^{-1}$ ) but the value in SI is naturally unaffected by the form in which $X^{n}$ is expressed. For convenience the final column of the table gives values of $X$ to five significant figures. These values are based on CODATA Bulletin No. ll (December 1973), Committee on Data for Science and Technology of the International Council of Scientific Unions; for higher accuracy the most recent table of physical constants should be used to re-evaluate the numbers.

| $\frac{\text { Calculated physical }}{\text { quantity }}$ | $\frac{\text { Usual }}{\text { symbol }}$ | X | Value of $X$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length | $\ell$ | $a_{0}$ | $5.2918 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Mass | m | $m e$ | $9.1095 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| Time | $t$ | $\nRightarrow E_{h}^{-1}$ | $2.4189 \times 10^{-17} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Electric current | $I$ | $e E_{h} \chi^{-1}$ | $6.6237 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~A}$ |
| Energy | $E$ | $E_{h}$ | $4.3598 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}$ |
| Charge | $Q$ | $e$ | $1.6022 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Angular momentum | $L$ | lt | $1.0546 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Electric dipole moment | $p, \mu$ | $e a_{0}$ | $8.4784 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{Cmm}(+)$ |
| Electric quadrupole moment | ( ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $e a_{0}^{2}$ | $4.4866 \times 10^{-40} \mathrm{Cm}^{2}$ |
| Polarizability | $\alpha$ | $e^{2} a_{o}^{2} E_{h}^{-1}$ | $1.6488 \times 10^{-41} \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~J}^{-1}$ |
| Electric field | $E$ | $E_{h} e^{-1} a_{0}^{-1}$ | $5.1423 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ |
| Electric field gradient | $-V_{z z}$ | $E_{h} e^{-1} a_{0}^{-2}$ | $9.7174 \times 10^{21} \mathrm{Vm}^{-2}$ |
| Magnetic moment* | $m, \mu$ | $e \not m^{-1}$ | $1.8548 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~T}^{-1}$ |
| Magnetizability | $\xi$ | $e^{2} a_{0}^{2} m_{e}^{-1}$ | $7.8910 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~T}^{-2}$ |
| Magnetic flux density | B | $7 e^{-1} a_{0}^{-2}$ | $2.3505 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~T}$ |
| Magnetic vector potential | A | $\operatorname{tg} a_{0}^{-1} e^{-1}$ | $1.2439 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$ T |
| Current density | $j$ | $e E_{h} A^{-1} a_{0}^{-2}$ | $2.3654 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ |
| Linear momentum | $p$ | $\nRightarrow a_{0}^{-1}$ | $1.9929 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| Probability density | $x, y, z)$ | $a_{0}^{-3}$ | $6.7483 \times 10^{30} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ |

Note also the molar quantities:-

| Molar energy | $E_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $2.6255 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Molar polarizability | $\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $9.9290 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~J}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ |
| Molar magnetizability | $\xi_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $4.7520 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~T}^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}$ |

$\dagger_{e \alpha_{o}}$ also corresponds to 22.5418 Debye

* $X$ for magnetic moment is TWICE the
value of the Bohr magneton


[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Normally, within calculations retaining relativistic effects, the velocity of light should be given a value equal to the reciprocal of the fine structure constant, namely ~ 137.03604.
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